

Interview Summary

Application No.

09:955.213

Applicant(s)

DUPILLE, MICHAEL

Examiner

Michael P Colaianne

Art Unit

1731

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael P Colaianne.

(3)_____.

(2) Mark Lorbiecki.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-12 and 18-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The non-elected claims 1-11 and 18-20 will be cancelled. Also, the phrase "such that the temperature differential between a core and a surface of the glass putter head melt is kept within 10 percent of each other" was added because this limitation is critical to the annealing process to achieve the desired glass putter properties. Specifically, on page 7, lines 24-33 of applicant's Written Description, applicant states that the purpose of the annealing schedules is to assure that the temperature differential between the core and the surface is kept within 10 percent. 35 U.S.C. §112 requires that this critical limitation be in the independent claim.